# PATENT COOPERATION TREATY



# **PCT**

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

INTERNA Applicant's or agent's file reference DOG/VP/41612 International application No. PCT/FR2003/001946 International Patent Classification (IPC) B01F 13/00  Applicant	International filing date (day/month/year) 24 juin 2003 (24.06.2003)	cation of Transmittal of Interna Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA Priority date (day/month/year) 24 juin 2002 (24.06.2002
Applicant's or agent's file reference DOG/VP/41612 International application No. PCT/FR2003/001946 International Patent Classification (IPC) B01F 13/00	FOR FURTHER ACTION See Notification Preliminary  International filing date (day/month/year) 24 juin 2003 (24.06.2003)	Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA  Priority date (day/month/year)
DOG/VP/41612  International application No. PCT/FR2003/001946  International Patent Classification (IPC) B01F 13/00	International filing date (day/month/year) 24 juin 2003 (24.06.2003)	Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA  Priority date (day/month/year)
PCT/FR2003/001946  International Patent Classification (IPC)  B01F 13/00	24 juin 2003 (24.06.2003)	1
nternational Patent Classification (IPC) B01F 13/00		2 1 Juni 2002 (2 1.00.2002
pplicant		
	BIOMÉRIEUX	
	the Administrative Instructions under the PCT). a total of sheets.	
3. This report contains indications	·	
I Basis of the rep	ort	
<u> </u>	ent of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive s	tep and industrial applicability
IV Lack of unity of	finvention	
Reasoned states	nent under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, in planations supporting such statement	nventive step or industrial applicability
VI Certain docume	ents cited	
VII Certain defects	in the international application	
VIII Certain observa	tions on the international application	
	Data of completion	of this report
Date of submission of the demand	Date of completion	
Date of submission of the demand 27 octobre 2003 (27	ļ ·	ovember 2004 (08.11.2004)
	7.10.2003) 08 N	ovember 2004 (08.11.2004)

International application No.

PCT/FR2003/001946

L.	Basis	of the re	port
1.	With	regard to	the elements of the international application:*
ŀ		the inte	mational application as originally filed
	図	the desc	eription:
		pages	1-18 , as originally filed
		pages	, filed with the demand
		pages	, filed with the letter of
İ	X	the clai	ms:
		pages	1-21 , as originally filed
		pages	, as amended (together with any statement under Article 19
		pages	, filed with the demand
		pages	, filed with the letter of
	$\boxtimes$	the drav	vings:
		pages	1/5-5/5 , as originally filed
		pages	, filed with the demand
		pages	, filed with the letter of
	□t	he seaue	nce listing part of the description:
	٠ ــــ	pages	, as originally filed
		pages	, filed with the demand
		pages	, filed with the letter of
3.	These	the land the land the land the land or 55.3 regard minary ex	to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in which all application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.  It were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language which is:  The guage of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).  The guage of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).  The guage of the translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rule 55.2 and/).  The purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rule 55.2 and/).  The purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rule 55.2 and/).  The purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rule 55.2 and/).
		filed to	gether with the international application in computer readable form.
		furnish	ed subsequently to this Authority in written form.
		furnish	ed subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.
			atement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the tional application as filed has been furnished.
			stement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has rnished.
4.		The an	endments have resulted in the cancellation of:
			the description, pages
			the claims, Nos
			the drawings, sheets/fig
5.			nort has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).**
*	in th		heets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rule 70.16
**	Any r	replacem	ent sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.

International application No.

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT	PCT/FR2003/001946				
III. Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial	applicability				
<ol> <li>The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an invindustrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:</li> </ol>	entive step (to be non obvious), or to be				
the entire international application.					
Claims Nos14-21					
because:					
the said international application, or the said claims Nos relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international prel	liminary examination (specify):				
·					
the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos					
the claims, or said claims Nosby the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.	are so inadequately supported				
no international search report has been established for said claims Nos.	•				
2. A meaningful international preliminary examination cannot be carried out due to the sequence listing to comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administra	failure of the nucleotide and/or amino acid tive Instructions:				
the written form has not been furnished or does not comply with the standard.	atom do and				

International application No. PCT/FR 03/01946

Supplemental Box

(To be used when the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient)

Continuation of: III.

## Clarity

Claims 14 to 21, which relate to the use of a fluidic device, attempt to define said use in terms of method implementation features (cf. the PCT International Preliminary Examination Guidelines, point III-4.9). This leads to a lack of clarity as far as the category of the claims is concerned. Consequently, claims 14 to 21 fail to comply with the requirements of PCT Article 6 in so far as the subject matter for which protection is sought has not been clearly defined.

Furthermore, independent claims 14, 15 and 18, considered as a whole, are unclear. Because of the plurality of independent claims, it is difficult if not impossible to determine the subject matter for which protection is sought. An independent claim must explicitly specify all of the essential features required for the definition of the invention. Since claims 14, 15 and 18 relating to the use of a fluidic device contain different technical features, it is impossible to determine clearly which technical features are essential for the definition of the invention. It follows that claims 14, 15 and 18 fail to comply with the requirements of PCT Article 6.

International application No. PCT/FR 03/01946

V.	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;
	citations and explanations supporting such statement

1.	Statement			
	Novelty (N)	Claims	1-13	YES
		Claims		NO
	Inventive step (IS)	Claims		YES
		Claims	1-13	NO
	Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-13	YES
		Claims		NO NO

## 2. Citations and explanations

Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: US-B1-6 193 471 (PAUL CARLTON H) 27 February 2001 (2001-02-27)

D2: US-A-6 043 080 (FODOR STEPHEN P A ET AL) 28
March 2000 (2000-03-28)

D3: WO 99 39120 A (UNIV PITTSBURGH) 5 August 1999 (1999-08-05)

## Novelty

D1 is considered to be the most relevant prior art. D1 (column 7, line 20 to column 8, line 23, figures 4 to 7) describes an operating cavity (94) and two gas trapping chambers (28). The subject matter of claim 1 differs from document D1 in that the fluidic device has heat exchange means for controlling the gas pressure.

It follows that the subject matter of claim 1 is novel (PCT Article 33(2)).

#### Inventive step

The problem that the present invention is intended to

solve is that of achieving the function of isolating a volume of liquid in an operating cavity using substantially simplified fluidic means (page 3, lines 17-21).

The solution proposed in claim 1 of the present application involves heat exchange means with a gas trapping chamber. Nothing in claim 1 makes it possible to determine how the heat exchange means solve the stated problem addressed in the description, meaning that the subject matter of claim 1 is not inventive.

Furthermore, the use of heat exchange means for controlling the pressure of a gas is part of the general knowledge of a person skilled in the art of fluidic devices. The use of heat exchange means is merely one of a plurality of obvious alternatives that a person skilled in the art might select when seeking to control the pressure of a gas, without an inventive step being involved.

The use of heat exchange means for controlling the pressure of a fluid in a fluidic device is also known from the prior art, such as documents D2 (column 24, lines 6-27) and D3 (page 4, line 33 to page 5, line 10), and the application does not indicate whether said means lead to a novel or surprising effect.

Similarly, nothing in the description or the claims makes it possible to determine how the features in dependent claims 2 to 13 enable the stated problem addressed in the description to be solved.

It follows that claims 1 to 13 are not inventive (PCT Article 33(3)).